Adaptive spatial decomposition in Fast Multipole Method

Jianming Zhang, Masataka Tanaka

Shinshu University Faculty of Engineering



Nov. 15, 2006



>Main features:

- Combines a modified functional with the Moving Least Squares (MLS) approximation
- Three independent variables
 - internal temperature
 - boundary temperature
 - boundary normal flux

Variables approximation

Domain variables

$$\phi = \sum_{I=1}^{N} \phi_I^s x_I \qquad \qquad \phi_I^s = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{1}{4\pi r(Q, \mathbf{s}_I)}$$

Boundary variables

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{I=1}^{N} \Phi_{I}(\mathbf{s}) \hat{\phi}_{I} \qquad \tilde{q}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{I=1}^{N} \Phi_{I}(\mathbf{s}) \hat{q}_{I}$$



Example of meshless discretization



>System of equations



$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{q}}$$

$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \qquad U_{IJ} = \int_{\Gamma_s^J} \phi_I^s v_J(Q) d\Gamma$$
$$V_{IJ} = \int_{\Gamma_s^J} q_I^s v_J(Q) d\Gamma$$
$$H_{IJ} = \int_{\Gamma_s^J} \Phi_I(\mathbf{s}) v_J(Q) d\Gamma$$

- Three purposes of elements in BEM:
 - To interpolate Boundary variables
 - To facilitate boundary integration
 - To approximate the geometry



Matrix-vector multiplication

$$x_{I}^{\prime k+1} = \sum_{J=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_{I}} \phi_{J}^{s} v_{I}(Q) x_{J}^{k} d\Gamma$$
$$x_{I}^{\prime k+1} = \sum_{J=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_{I}} \frac{\partial \phi_{J}^{s}}{\partial n} v_{I}(Q) x_{J}^{k} d\Gamma$$

Complexity of an iterative solver:

Memory: $O(N^2)$ CPU time: $O(N^2)$

Fast Multipole Method (FMM):

Reducing computational complexity to O(N)



>First addition theorem

Let \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 be two vectors with spherical coordinates (r_1, α_1, β_1)

and (r_2, α_2, β_2) , respectively. It follows

$$\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|} = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} R_{n}^{m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \overline{S_{n}^{m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}, & \left|\mathbf{r}_{1}\right| < \left|\mathbf{r}_{2}\right| \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} R_{n}^{m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \overline{S_{n}^{m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)}, & \left|\mathbf{r}_{1}\right| > \left|\mathbf{r}_{2}\right| \end{cases}$$



where

$$R_n^m(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(n+m)!} P_n^m(\cos\alpha) e^{im\beta} r^n$$
$$S_n^m(\mathbf{r}) = (n-m)! P_n^m(\cos\alpha) e^{im\beta} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}}$$

5



Second addition theorem

Let \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 be two vectors such that $|\mathbf{r}_1| > |\mathbf{r}_2|$, then

$$S_{n}^{m}(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=-n'}^{n'} \overline{R_{n'}^{m'}(\mathbf{r}_{2})} S_{n+n'}^{m+m'}(\mathbf{r}_{1})$$



Third addition theorem

Let \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 be two arbitrary vectors, then

$$R_{n}^{m}(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \sum_{n'=0}^{n} \sum_{m'=-n'}^{n'} R_{n'}^{m'}(-\mathbf{r}_{2}) R_{n-n'}^{m-m'}(\mathbf{r}_{1})$$





Ideas of FMM





Multipole expansion





Local expansion





> Translation operators



$$M_{n'}^{m'}(Q_2') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} R_n^m(\overline{O_2'O_2}) M_{n-n'}^{m-m'}(Q_2)$$

Multipole to multipole translation

$$L_{n}^{m}(O_{1}') = \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=-n'}^{n'} (-1)^{n} \overline{S_{n+n'}^{m+m'}} (\overline{O_{1}'O_{2}'}) M_{n'}^{m'}(Q_{2}')$$

Multipole to local translation

$$L_{n'}^{m'}(O_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} R_{n-n'}^{m-m'}(\overline{O_1'O_1}) L_n^m(Q_1')$$

Local to local translation



Recursive algorithm

Upward pass

$\leftarrow \text{Level } l+1 \quad \leftarrow \text{Level } l$

Downward pass

Multipole moments are accumulated from leaves to the root (*Upward pass*); and local moments are distributed from the root to the leaves (*Downward pass*). This is accomplished at a linear complexity.



> Algorithm

> One example





> Shortcoming

Does not reflect the geometry of the computational domain!

 Resulted in a large number of M2L translations!





> Differs from the standard tree:

- Use rectangular boxes instead of cubes
- Subdivide a box in the longest direction
- Tighten the child boxes at each subdivision step



> One example



> Differs from the standard tree:

- Use rectangular boxes instead of cubes
- Subdivide a box according to the shape of the box
- Tighten the child boxes at each subdivision step
- Generalize the Downward Pass algorithm to allow M2L among the child boxes of a same parent box
- Determine the number of expansion terms in M2L by

$$p = 0.117 p_{norm} / \log(\frac{a}{\rho - a})$$







> One example





Computer: desktop computer with an Intel(R) Pentium(R) 4 CPU (1.99GHz)

Analytical solution: $\phi = x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3yx^2 - 3xz^2 - 3zy^2$

Maximum nodes in a leaf: 60

Number of expansion terms: p = 10

Iterative solver: **GMRES**

Convergence criterion: relative error $< 10^{-5}$





ICOME-2006, Hefei, China

J. Zhang, Masa. Tanaka 18





ICOME-2006, Hefei, China







> CNT composite simulation





	K	Nodes	Time (s)
Oct-tree	1.356	165153	58656
Adaptive tree	1.337	165153	9776

	K	Nodes	Time (s)
Oct-tree	0.917	109314	32378
Adaptive tree	0.904	109314	5396



- An adaptive tree data structure has been proposed. The new tree data structure is more flexible in matching the geometry (global and local) of the computational domain.
- Moreover, an adaptive value for the number of terms of the truncated series for M2L translations is used. This value is determined by the distance between the two interacting cells.
- Numerical examples show that the adaptive algorithm leads to trees with more compact cells and shallow depth, and runs significantly faster than the standard oct-tree.